

# Econometrics Test

2010 - 09 - 22

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Say if the following statements are unambiguously true (TRUE), unambiguously false (FALSE) or impossible to classify the way they are stated (CAN'T SAY). Write the motivations to your answers **only** in the space provided. A "CAN'T SAY" answer with no motivations will be considered wrong.

- (a) In order to use a statistic as a test statistic, it is essential to know its distribution under the null hypothesis.

TRUE      ☐      FALSE      ☐      CAN'T SAY      ☐

---

---

---

- (b) The Central Limit Theorem for iid observations has stricter requirements than the Law of Large Numbers.

TRUE      ☐      FALSE      ☐      CAN'T SAY      ☐

---

---

---

- (c) The  $R^2$  index can never be larger than one.

TRUE      ☐      FALSE      ☐      CAN'T SAY      ☐

---

---

---

- (d) The Gauss-Markov theorem is asymptotic and may not hold in small samples.

TRUE      ☐      FALSE      ☐      CAN'T SAY      ☐

---

---

---

- (e) The Chow test can only be performed with time-series data.

TRUE      ☐      FALSE      ☐      CAN'T SAY      ☐

---

---

---

2. Suppose you have a sample of 400 observations from an iid Poisson distribution, that is

$$P(x_i) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!};$$

for  $i = 1 \dots 400$ ; suppose also that the average  $\bar{X}$  equals 1.05.

- (a) Compute the ML estimate of  $\lambda$ ;
- (b) Test the hypothesis  $\lambda = 1$  via an LR test (*hint*:  $\log(1.05) = 0.0488$ )
- (c) define the variable  $y_i$  as

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{se } x_i = 0 \\ 1 & \text{se } x_i > 0 \end{cases}$$

and prove that  $\tilde{\lambda} = -\log(1 - \bar{Y})$  is a consistent estimator of  $\lambda$ .

3. Table 1 reports the estimates for a model of the number of foreigners in Italian provinces; the variables used are:

lfore    logarithm of the number of foreigners  
 ln       logarithm of the total population  
 lgdp    logarithm of the per capita GDP  
 north   dummy variable, 1 if province is in the North  
 south   dummy variable, 1 if province is in the South

Answer these questions:

- (a) Is it correct to say that in the North the number of foreigners is higher than in the Center?
- (b) Is it correct to say that in the South the number of foreigners is higher than in the Center?
- (c) What is the interpretation of the fact that  $\beta_{l\_gdp} > 0$ ?
- (d) Is the hypothesis that  $\beta_{l\_n} = 1$  consistent with the data?
- (e) What would the interpretation of the above hypothesis be?

Model 1: OLS, using observations 1–103  
 Dependent variable: lfore

	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-ratio	p-value
const	−9.22084	0.967570	−9.5299	0.0000
ln	0.957706	0.0526677	18.1840	0.0000
lgdp	2.04878	0.239931	8.5390	0.0000
north	−0.166158	0.108817	−1.5270	0.1300
south	−0.401171	0.125227	−3.2035	0.0018
Mean dependent var	9.249894	S.D. dependent var	1.070631	
Sum squared resid	13.84901	S.E. of regression	0.375921	
$R^2$	0.881549	Adjusted $R^2$	0.876714	
$F(4, 98)$	182.3364	P-value( $F$ )	1.77e−44	

Table 1: Number of foreigners by province